



This is an enhanced PDF from The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery The PDF of the article you requested follows this cover page.

Comparison of Patients Undergoing Primary Shoulder Arthroplasty Before and After the Age of Fifty

Matthew D. Saltzman, Deana M. Mercer, Winston J. Warme, Alexander L. Bertelsen and Frederick A. Matsen, III J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010;92:42-47. doi:10.2106/JBJS.I.00071

This information is current as of October 31, 2010
--

Reprints and Permissions	Click here to order reprints or request permission to use material from this article, or locate the article citation on jbjs.org and click on the [Reprints and Permissions] link.
Publisher Information	The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery 20 Pickering Street, Needham, MA 02492-3157 www.jbjs.org

Comparison of Patients Undergoing Primary Shoulder Arthroplasty Before and After the Age of Fifty

By Matthew D. Saltzman, MD, Deana M. Mercer, MD, Winston J. Warme, MD, Alexander L. Bertelsen, PAC, and Frederick A. Matsen III, MD

Investigation performed at the Department of Orthopedics and Sports Medicine, University of Washington Medical Center, Seattle, Washington

Background: The reported outcomes of shoulder arthroplasty in patients under the age of fifty years are worse than those in patients over fifty. While there are several possible explanations for this finding, we explored the possibility that patients who had a primary shoulder arthroplasty when they were under fifty years of age differed from those who had the procedure when they were over fifty with respect to their pre-arthroplasty self-assessed comfort and function, sex distribution, and specific type of arthritis.

Methods: The study group consisted of patients with glenohumeral arthritis who were treated with a primary shoulder arthroplasty by the same surgeon between 1990 and 2008. For each decade of age, the sex distribution, the pre-arthroplasty self-assessed shoulder comfort and function, and the prevalence of twelve different diagnoses were documented. We reviewed the series for three potential causes of worse outcomes in patients under fifty years of age as compared with those over fifty years of age: (1) a higher percentage of women, (2) a lower score for pre-arthroplasty self-assessed comfort and function, and (3) more complex pathological conditions.

Results: Patients under the age of fifty years were not more likely than those over fifty to be female or to have a lower prearthroplasty self-assessed comfort and function score, but they did have more complex pathological conditions, such as capsulorrhaphy arthropathy, rheumatoid arthritis, and posttraumatic arthritis. Only 21% of the younger patients had primary degenerative joint disease, whereas 66% of the older patients had that diagnosis. This difference was significant (p < 0.000000001).

Conclusions: Surgeons performing shoulder arthroplasty in individuals under the age of fifty should be prepared to encounter pathological conditions such as capsulorrhaphy arthropathy, rheumatoid arthritis, and posttraumatic arthritis rather than primary osteoarthritis, which is more common in individuals older than fifty. The pathoanatomy in these younger patients may complicate the surgery, the rehabilitation, and the outcome of the shoulder arthroplasty.

S houlder arthroplasty is most commonly performed for glenohumeral osteoarthritis in individuals over the age of sixty years¹⁻³. Historically, <10% of shoulder arthroplasties have been performed in individuals under the age of fifty⁴. The outcomes of shoulder arthroplasty have been noted to be worse than expected for patients under fifty years of age⁵⁻⁷. While recently there have been additional reports of shoulder arthroplasty in younger individuals⁸⁻¹¹, a PubMed literature review on March 22, 2009, revealed no direct comparison of the characteristics of young patients undergoing shoulder arthroplasty with those of older patients undergoing this procedure. We were

particularly interested in comparing the sex distribution, preoperative self-assessed comfort and function, and distribution of preoperative diagnoses between the younger and older age groups because it has been observed that these factors may affect the outcome of reconstructive surgery^{5,10,12-20}.

Specifically, we reviewed the series for three potential causes of worse outcomes of shoulder arthroplasty in individuals under the age of fifty: (1) a higher percentage of women, (2) worse pre-arthroplasty self-assessed comfort and function, and (3) a higher prevalence of diagnoses that are more complex than straightforward primary degenerative joint disease.

Disclosure: The authors did not receive any outside funding or grants in support of their research for or preparation of this work. Neither they nor a member of their immediate families received payments or other benefits or a commitment or agreement to provide such benefits from a commercial entity.

The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery • JBJS.org Volume 92-A • Number 1 • January 2010 COMPARISON OF PATIENTS UNDERGOING PRIMARY SHOULDER ARTHROPLASTY BEFORE AND AFTER THE AGE OF FIFTY

TABLE I Age and Sex Distribution by Diagnosis							
Diagnoses	Women <50 Yr	Men <50 Yr	Women >50 Yr	Men >50 Yr	Total		
Capsulorrhaphy arthropathy	19	39	8	40	106		
Degenerative joint disease	5	31	168	399	603		
Posttraumatic arthritis	9	16	29	18	72		
Osteonecrosis	7	11	13	4	35		
Rheumatoid arthritis	13	5	43	8	69		
Other inflammatory arthritis	8	2	13	6	29		
Cuff tear arthropathy	0	1	52	44	97		
Postinfectious arthritis	1	1	2	3	7		
Glenoid dysplasia	0	1	2	3	6		
Instability arthritis	2	0	2	0	4		
Charcot arthropathy	1	0	0	0	1		
Giant cell tumor	0	0	0	1	1		
Total	65	107	332	526	1030		

Materials and Methods

ne thousand and forty-five consecutive patients with glenohumeral arthritis who were treated with a primary shoulder arthroplasty by the same surgeon (F.A.M. III) between 1990 and 2008 were initially included in the study group. The age, sex, results of the preoperative Simple Shoulder Test (SST), and diagnosis were documented by the surgeon at the time of the surgical procedure and entered at that time into a database approved for clinical research by our human subjects committee. The SST self-assessment of shoulder comfort and function is well established and has been used extensively in other studies²¹⁻²⁴. The necessary and sufficient criteria for the various diagnoses have been previously published and were consistently applied by the surgeon during the period of this investigation^{17,25}. The decision to perform a shoulder arthroplasty was made jointly by the patient and surgeon after a detailed discussion about the alternatives and risks of the procedure. A variety of arthroplasty procedures were used in this population, but the choice of procedure was not analyzed because a correlation of the arthroplasty type with the outcome was not a purpose of this study. For each decade of age, we assessed the prevalence of twelve different diagnoses, the sex distribution, and the self-assessed shoulder comfort and function prior to the primary shoulder arthroplasty. Fifteen of the records were incomplete, and the necessary data could not be determined; these patients were excluded from the analysis. This left 1030 patients for analysis.

Because five diagnoses (postinfectious arthritis, glenoid dysplasia, instability arthritis, Charcot arthropathy, and giant cell tumor) were recorded for fewer than eight patients each, these patients (nineteen in total) were excluded from further analysis; six of these patients were under fifty and thirteen were over fifty at the time of the operation.

Because this investigation was not a clinical trial, it was not necessary to register it in a public trials registry.

Statistical Analysis

Chi-square analyses were used to evaluate differences in SST scores according to sex and decade of age. The SST scores for male and female patients for each decade of age were analyzed with use of the unpaired t test with assumption of unequal variance. Significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Source of Funding

No external funding was received for this investigation.

Results

The distributions of diagnoses by age and by sex are shown in Table I. Seventeen percent of the patients were under and 83% were over fifty years of age. Patients under the age of fifty were not more likely to be female than those over fifty years of age. Thirty-eight percent of the patients under fifty and 39% of those over fifty were female. This difference was thought to be clinically irrelevant and thus no statistical analysis was performed.

TABLE II Total SST Score by Decade and Sex*						
Decade	Women	Men	P Value			
3	2.0 ± 3.1	3.9 ± 2.2	0.29			
4	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	3.4 ± 2.5	0.13			
5	2.1 ± 2.3	4.3 ± 2.7	<0.001			
6	2.7 ± 2.5	$\textbf{4.1} \pm \textbf{2.8}$	<0.001			
7	1.9 ± 2.0	3.9 ± 2.8	<0.001			
8	$\textbf{2.1} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	3.7 ± 2.8	<0.001			
9	1.7 ± 2.1	$\textbf{3.1} \pm \textbf{2.5}$	0.01			
10	0.8 ± 0.5					

*Values are given as the mean and the standard deviation.

The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery - JBJS.org Volume 92-A - Number 1 - January 2010 Comparison of Patients Undergoing Primary Shoulder Arthroplasty Before and After the Age of Fifty





Distribution of eight diagnoses by age in patients under fifty years of age (Fig. 1-A) and those over fifty years of age (Fig. 1-B). Minor Dx = minor diagnoses, including postinfectious arthritis, glenoid dysplasia, instability arthritis, Charcot arthropathy, and giant cell tumor; fewer than eight patients had each of these diagnoses.

The mean pre-arthroplasty self-assessed comfort and function (SST) score (and standard deviation) for the patients under fifty years of age $(3.37 \pm 2.66 \text{ points})$ was not worse than that for the patients over fifty years of age $(3.15 \pm 2.71 \text{ points})$

(Table II). Because this difference was thought to be clinically irrelevant, no statistical analysis was performed. It is of note that the pre-arthroplasty SST scores for women were consistently lower than those for men in the same decade of life. It is also of note that the pre-arthroplasty SST scores for women y and those for men were remarkably consistent across the age groups.

Patients under fifty years of age had a higher prevalence of diagnoses that were more complex than straightforward primary degenerative joint disease. Of the 172 patients under fifty, 136 (79%) had a diagnosis other than primary degenerative joint disease in comparison with 291 (34%) of the 858 patients over fifty (chi-square p < 0.000000001) (Figs. 1-A and 1-B) (Table I).

Discussion

C houlder arthroplasty is most commonly used in individuals Oover the age of fifty years to treat primary glenohumeral osteoarthritis. The outcomes are generally good from the perspective of both the patient and the surgeon²⁶⁻³⁶. Shoulder arthroplasty is also used to manage destruction of the glenohumeral joint from a variety of causes in younger individuals. The reported outcomes of shoulder arthroplasty in younger individuals are inferior to those for their older counterparts. Sperling et al. reviewed the results of seventy-eight Neer shoulder hemiarthroplasties and thirty-six Neer total shoulder arthroplasties performed in patients who were fifty years of age or younger⁶. Sixty-two shoulders treated with a hemiarthroplasty and twenty-nine treated with a total shoulder arthroplasty had a complete preoperative evaluation and complete operative records and had been followed for a minimum of fifteen years or until revision, and they were included in the clinical analysis. While there was significant (p < 0.0001) longterm pain relief and improvement in active abduction and external rotation after these procedures, the results, assessed with use of the Neer rating system, were not good. There were six excellent, nineteen satisfactory, and thirty-seven unsatisfactory results after the hemiarthroplasties and six excellent, nine satisfactory, and fourteen unsatisfactory results after the total shoulder arthroplasties. The authors concluded that "care should be taken, and alternative methods of treatment should be actively considered, when either a hemiarthroplasty or a total shoulder arthroplasty is offered to patients who are fifty years old or less." Other articles have confirmed the challenges of performing shoulder arthroplasty on younger patients^{5,10}.

Because surgeons will continue to be consulted by patients under fifty who have glenohumeral arthritis, it is important to identify factors that may contribute to suboptimal outcomes. Possible factors are that, in comparison with their older counterparts, younger patients have (1) relatively greater impairment of shoulder function before surgery; (2) a different sex distribution, leading to different perceptions of outcome; (3) different diagnoses, including more complex pathological conditions; (4) increased demands and activity that increase the risk of loosening and wear; (5) increased expectations, making them at greater risk for dissatisfaction; and (6) increased longevity, enabling more problems to appear over time. Identification of such factors would enable the surgeon to have a more informed preoperative discussion with a Comparison of Patients Undergoing Primary Shoulder Arthroplasty Before and After the Age of Fifty

younger patient who is considering arthroplasty. In this study, we explored the first three of these factors.

It has been previously recognized that women tend to report lower levels of comfort and function than men for the same diagnoses^{13,16,17,19,20}. We reviewed our series of patients to determine if more women than men were under fifty years old, as such a discrepancy may contribute to the perception of a worse outcome. The proportion of women was essentially the same in the younger and older age groups. Additionally, we reviewed the possibility that individuals under the age of fifty have greater self-assessed disability before surgery, which might predispose them to the occurrence of a worse outcome. However, the patients under the age of fifty in our study did not have lower scores on the preoperative SST.

We also reviewed the possibility that the diagnoses of individuals under the age of fifty who undergo shoulder arthroplasty include a greater number of pathological conditions, such as capsulorrhaphy arthropathy (arthritis that occurs following a previous surgical stabilization procedure), that are more difficult to manage than straightforward degenerative joint disease. Capsulorrhaphy arthropathy was the diagnosis for 34% of the patients under fifty and only 6% of those in the older group. Rheumatoid arthritis was the diagnosis for 10% of the patients under fifty and only 6% of the older patients. Posttraumatic arthritis was the diagnosis for 15% of the younger patients and only 5% of those who were older. In contrast, only 21% of the younger patients had primary degenerative joint disease, whereas 66% of the older patients had this diagnosis. More complex pathological conditions are likely to have greater abnormalities of soft tissue and bone that can make surgery more difficult and compromise the outcome of shoulder arthroplasty in individuals under the age of fifty.

While previous studies have suggested that an age of less than fifty years is a predictor of worse outcomes after shoulder arthroplasty ^{5,6}, the findings of the present study suggest that the preoperative diagnosis may be a more important factor. For example, an older patient with capsulorrhaphy arthropathy may have a worse outcome than an older individual with degenerative joint disease; however, the outcome may be similar to that of a younger individual with the same diagnosis. Future studies should be performed to evaluate whether patients under the age of fifty have lower outcome scores than their older counterparts when preoperative diagnosis is controlled for.

While the data do not suggest that a difference in sex distribution predisposes patients to inferior outcomes, the consistency of the difference in the pre-arthroplasty selfassessed comfort and function between the women and men indicates that stratification by sex may still be important in clinical outcome studies of shoulder arthroplasty.

These findings need to be interpreted in light of certain limitations. First, this series represents the experience of only one surgeon. Second, we did not compare the outcomes of the shoulder arthroplasty with the preoperative characteristics of the patient. Third, this study did not address all of the preThe Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery • JBJS.org Volume 92-A • Number 1 • January 2010 Comparison of Patients Undergoing Primary Shoulder Arthroplasty Before and After the Age of Fifty

operative characteristics that may differ between patients younger and older than fifty years of age. Fourth, the patients in this study underwent a variety of arthroplasty procedures selected on the basis of our approach to shared decisionmaking; there may be different indications and combinations of procedures in other practices.

Despite these limitations, it is clear that there was a significant difference in the distribution of diagnoses between the younger and older patients in this population treated with primary shoulder arthroplasty. However, the younger group did not have a higher prevalence of women or a lower preoperative score for self-assessed shoulder comfort and function.

In discussing shoulder arthroplasty with younger patients, surgeons should explain that more complex pathological conditions, such as capsulorrhaphy arthropathy, rheumatoid arthritis, and posttraumatic arthritis, may complicate the surgical procedure and compromise its effectiveness in comparison with the situation with primary degenerative joint disease, which is more commonly seen in older individuals. It is possible that the preoperative diagnosis has at least as much influence on the outcome of the procedure as does the age of the patient. In future studies comparing the results of primary shoulder arthroplasty between younger and older individuals, it will be important to stratify patients according to diagnosis and sex as well as age.

Matthew D. Saltzman, MD Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Northwestern University, 676 North Saint Clair, 13th floor, Chicago, IL 60611. E-mail address: mdsaltzman@gmail.com

Deana M. Mercer, MD Winston J. Warme, MD Alexander L. Bertelsen, PAC Frederick A. Matsen, III, MD Department of Orthopedics and Sports Medicine, University of Washington Medical Center, Box 356500, 1959 N.E. Pacific Street, Seattle, WA 98195. E-mail address for D.M. Mercer: mercerd@u.washington.edu. E-mail address for W.J. Warme: warmewj@u.washington.edu. E-mail address for A.L. Bertelsen: alexbert@u.washington.edu.

References

1. Matsen FA 3rd, lannotti JP, Rockwood CA Jr. Humeral fixation by press-fitting of a tapered metaphyseal stem: a prospective radiographic study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003;85:304-8.

2. Tammachote N, Sperling JW, Vathana T, Cofield RH, Harmsen WS, Schleck CD. Long-term results of cemented metal-backed glenoid components for osteoarthritis of the shoulder. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2009;91:160-6.

3. Martin SD, Zurakowski D, Thornhill TS. Uncemented glenoid component in total shoulder arthroplasty. Survivorship and outcomes. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005;87: 1284-92.

 Adams JE, Sperling JW, Hoskin TL, Melton LJ 3rd, Cofield RH. Shoulder arthroplasty in Olmsted County, Minnesota, 1976-2000: a population-based study. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2006;15:50-5.

5. Sperling JW, Antuna SA, Sanchez-Sotelo J, Schleck C, Cofield RH. Shoulder arthroplasty for arthritis after instability surgery. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2002;84: 1775-81.

6. Sperling JW, Cofield RH, Rowland CM. Neer hemiarthroplasty and Neer total shoulder arthroplasty in patients fifty years old or less. Long-term results. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1998;80:464-73.

7. Sperling JW, Cofield RH, Rowland CM. Minimum fifteen-year follow-up of Neer hemiarthroplasty and total shoulder arthroplasty in patients aged fifty years or younger. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2004;13:604-13.

8. Bailie DS, Llinas PJ, Ellenbecker TS. Cementless humeral resurfacing arthroplasty in active patients less than fifty-five years of age. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90:110-7.

9. Burroughs PL, Gearen PF, Petty WR, Wright TW. Shoulder arthroplasty in the young patient. J Arthroplasty. 2003;18:792-8.

10. Elhassan B, Ozbaydar M, Diller D, Higgins LD, Warner JJ. Soft-tissue resurfacing of the glenoid in the treatment of glenohumeral arthritis in active patients less than fifty years old. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2009;91:419-24.

11. Raiss P, Aldinger PR, Kasten P, Rickert M, Loew M. Total shoulder replacement in young and middle-aged patients with glenohumeral osteoarthritis. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2008:90:764-9.

12. Franta AK, Lenters TR, Mounce D, Neradilek B, Matsen FA 3rd. The complex characteristics of 282 unsatisfactory shoulder arthroplasties. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2007;16:555-62.

13. Harryman DT 2nd, Hettrich CM, Smith KL, Campbell B, Sidles JA, Matsen FA 3rd. A prospective multipractice investigation of patients with full-thickness rotator cuff tears: the importance of comorbidities, practice, and other covariables on self-assessed shoulder function and health status. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003;85: 690-6.

14. Hasan SS, Leith JM, Campbell B, Kapil R, Smith KL, Matsen FA 3rd. Characteristics of unsatisfactory shoulder arthroplasties. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2002; 11:431-41.

15. Hettrich CM, Weldon E 3rd, Boorman RS, Parsons IM 4th, Matsen FA 3rd. Preoperative factors associated with improvements in shoulder function after humeral hemiarthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2004;86:1446-51.

16. Jensen I, Nygren A, Gamberale F, Goldie I, Westerholm P. Coping with long-term musculoskeletal pain and its consequences: is sex a factor? Pain 1994;57: 167-72.

17. Largacha M, Parsons IM 4th, Campbell B, Titelman RM, Smith KL, Matsen F 3rd. Deficits in shoulder function and general health associated with sixteen common shoulder diagnoses: a study of 2674 patients. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2006;15:30-9.

18. Parsons IM 4th, Buoncristiani AM, Donion S, Campbell B, Smith KL, Matsen FA 3rd. The effect of total shoulder arthroplasty on self-assessed deficits in shoulder function in patients with capsulorrhaphy arthropathy. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2007;16(3 Suppl):S19-26.

19. Romeo AA, Hang DW, Bach BR Jr, Shott S. Repair of full thickness rotator cuff tears. Sex, age and other factors affecting outcome. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1999; 367:243-55.

20. Smith KL, Harryman DT 2nd, Antoniou J, Campbell B, Sidles JA, Matsen FA 3rd. A prospective, multipractice study of shoulder function and health status in patients with documented rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2000;9:395-402.

21. Chacon A, Virani N, Shannon R, Levy JC, Pupello D, Frankle M. Revision arthroplasty with use of a reverse shoulder prosthesis-allograft composite. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2009;91:119-27.

22. Henn RF 3rd, Kang L, Tashjian RZ, Green A. Patients with Workers' Compensation claims have worse outcomes after rotator cuff repair. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90:2105-13.

23. Jiang C, Wang M, Rong G. Proximally based conjoined tendon transfer for coracoclavicular reconstruction in the treatment of acromioclavicular dislocation. Surgical technique. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90 Suppl 2(Pt 2):299-308. The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery · JBJS.org Volume 92-A · Number 1 · January 2010

24. Namdari S, Henn RF 3rd, Green A. Traumatic anterosuperior rotator cuff tears: the outcome of open surgical repair. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2008;90:1906-13.

25. Matsen FA 3rd, Lippitt SB, Sidles JA, Harryman DT 2nd. Practical evaluation and management of the shoulder. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1994.

26. Barrett WP, Franklin JL, Jackins SE, Wyss CR, Matsen FA 3rd. Total shoulder arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1987;69:865-72.

27. Boorman RS, Kopjar B, Fehringer E, Churchill RS, Smith K, Matsen FA 3rd. The effect of total shoulder arthroplasty on self-assessed health status is comparable to that of total hip arthroplasty and coronary artery bypass grafting. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2003;12:158-63.

28. Cofield RH. Total shoulder arthroplasty with the Neer prosthesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1984;66:899-906.

29. Fehringer EV, Kopjar B, Boorman RS, Churchill RS, Smith KL, Matsen FA 3rd. Characterizing the functional improvement after total shoulder arthroplasty for osteoarthritis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2002;84:1349-53.

30. Matsen FA 3rd. Early effectiveness of shoulder arthroplasty for patients who have primary glenohumeral degenerative joint disease. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1996;78:260-4.

Comparison of Patients Undergoing Primary Shoulder Arthroplasty Before and After the Age of Fifty

31. Matsen FA 3rd, Antoniou J, Rozencwaig R, Campbell B, Smith KL. Correlates with comfort and function after total shoulder arthroplasty for degenerative joint disease. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2000;9:465-9.

32. Neer CS 2nd, Watson KC, Stanton FJ. Recent experience in total shoulder replacement. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1982;64:319-37.

33. Parsons IM 4th, Campbell B, Titelman RM, Smith KL, Matsen FA 3rd. Characterizing the effect of diagnosis on presenting deficits and outcomes after total shoulder arthroplasty. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2005;14:575-84.

34. Wirth MA, Lim MS, Southworth C, Loredo R, Kaar TK, Rockwood CA Jr. Compaction bone-grafting in prosthetic shoulder arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2007;89:49-57.

35. Wirth MA, Tapscott RS, Southworth C, Rockwood CA Jr. Treatment of glenohumeral arthritis with a hemiarthroplasty: a minimum five-year follow-up outcome study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2006;88:964-73.

36. Wirth MA, Tapscott RS, Southworth C, Rockwood CA Jr. Treatment of glenohumeral arthritis with a hemiarthroplasty. Surgical technique. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2007;89 Suppl 2(Pt 1):10-25.